Response of soil $N_2O$ emissions to precipitation pulses under different nitrogen availabilities in a semiarid temperate steppe of Inner Mongolia, China
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Abstract: Short-term nitrous oxide ($N_2O$) pulse emissions caused by precipitation account for a considerable portion of the annual $N_2O$ emissions and are greatly influenced by soil nitrogen (N) dynamics. However, in Chinese semiarid temperate steppes, the response of $N_2O$ emissions to the coupling changes of precipitation and soil N availability is not yet fully understood. In this study, we conducted two 7-day field experiments in a semiarid temperate typical steppe of Inner Mongolia, China, to investigate the $N_2O$ emission pulses resulting from artificial precipitation events (approximately equivalent to 10.0 mm rainfall) under four N addition levels (0, 5, 10 and 20 g N/(m$^2$·a)) using the static opaque chamber technique. The results show that the simulated rainfall during the dry period in 2010 caused greater short-term emission bursts than that during the relatively rainy observation period in 2011 ($P<0.05$). No significant increase was observed for either the $N_2O$ peak effluxes or the weekly cumulative emissions ($P>0.05$) with single water addition. The peak values of $N_2O$ efflux increased with the increasing N input. Only the treatments with water and medium (WN10) or high N addition (WN20) significantly increased the cumulative $N_2O$ emissions ($P<0.01$) in both experimental periods. Under drought condition, the variations in soil $N_2O$ effluxes were positively correlated with the soil NH$_4$-N concentrations in the three N input treatments (WN5, WN10, and WN20). Besides, the soil moisture and temperature also greatly influenced the $N_2O$ pulse emissions, particularly the $N_2O$ pulse under the relatively rainy soil condition or in the treatments without N addition (ZN and ZWN). The responses of the plant metabolism to the varying precipitation distribution and the length of drought period prior to rainfall could greatly affect the soil N dynamics and $N_2O$ emission pulses in semiarid grasslands.
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 Nitrous Oxide ($N_2O$) is one of the most important global greenhouse gases (Wuebbles, 2009). In contrast to other greenhouse gases, $N_2O$ is often released instantaneously and circumstantially from the soils, particularly after precipitation during drought season (Priemé and Christensen, 2001; Freibauer and Kalschmitt, 2003; Yao et al., 2010; Harrison-Kirk et al., 2013). By experiments Birch et al. (1964) found that the soil drying and wetting cycles caused by precipitation stimulated the mineralization of soil organic matter, resulting in rapid soil carbon losses; such phenomenon was called the “Birch effect”. By following Birch’s experiment, several studies (Priemé and Christensen, 2001; Haren et al., 2005; Muhr et al.,...
2008; Kim et al., 2010; Trost et al., 2013) have proven that precipitation also triggers a considerable N₂O emission pulse. Haren et al. (2005) found that the first rainfall after a drought period triggered an N₂O pulse for several hours in Brazilian tropical forests. This pulse accounted for approximately 25% of the drought-associated reduced N₂O efflux and for 1.3%±0.4% of the annual N₂O emissions. Meanwhile, as the intermediate product or by-product of soil nitrification and denitrification, soil mineral N concentrations greatly influenced the amplitude of N₂O emissions from the soil (Weier et al., 1993; Doobie et al., 2003; Peng et al., 2011; Rafique et al., 2011). Burger et al. (2005) indicated that the greatest N₂O effluxes occurred immediately after the application of N fertilizer during the drying and wetting cycles in the agricultural soils in the California Central Valley. In addition, plants and microbes have different sensitivities to water pulses. The variations in precipitation distribution affect the biological activity of microbes and plants, thereby affecting the soil N dynamics and the corresponding soil N₂O effluxes (Austin et al., 2004; Barton et al., 2008; Austin 2011; Dijkstra et al., 2012; Song et al., 2012). Furthermore, the N₂O emission pulses induced by water pulses substantially vary among different ecosystems and under different soil N conditions (Rudaz et al., 1991; Davidson et al., 1992a, b, 1993; Priemé and Christensen, 2001; Huxman et al., 2004a; Burger et al., 2005; Barton et al., 2008; Yao et al., 2010; Li et al., 2011; Xu et al., 2012; Harrison-Kirk et al., 2013).

Grassland soil N₂O emission is an important part of the global N₂O budget and accounts for approximately 14% of the global annual anthropogenic N₂O emissions (Zhang et al., 2010). In China, grasslands comprise the largest terrestrial ecosystems, covering approximately 3.93×10⁸ ha or 41.7% of the national land area (Fan et al., 2008). The mean annual temperature in this area is –0.4°C, whereas the mean month temperature ranges from –21.4°C (January) to 18.5°C (July). The mean annual precipitation approximately ranges from 350 to 450 mm, 70% of which falls between June and September (Peng et al., 2011). The soil is classified as chestnut soil under Chinese soil classification or as calcic-orthic Aridisol under soil taxonomic classification. The soil texture consists of 60% sand, 21% clay and 19% silt. The soil depth changes between 100 and 150 cm and horizon A is ap-

1 Materials and methods

1.1 Study area

The experimental plots were built at a Leymus chinensis steppe in the Baiinxile Pasture, Xilin River Basin, Inner Mongolia, China, which is located at 43°26′N to 44°39′N, 115°32′E to 117°12′E, 1,265 m asl. The annual mean temperature in this area is −0.4°C, whereas the mean month temperature ranges from −21.4°C (January) to 18.5°C (July). The mean annual precipitation approximately ranges from 350 to 450 mm, 70% of which falls between June and September (Peng et al., 2011). The soil is classified as chestnut soil under Chinese soil classification or as calcic-orthic Aridisol under soil taxonomic classification. The soil texture consists of 60% sand, 21% clay and 19% silt. The soil depth changes between 100 and 150 cm and horizon A is ap-
proximately 20 to 30 cm, and soil organic carbon, total nitrogen, pH and soil bulk density were shown in Table 1. The community is dominated by *Leymus chinensis*, *Stipa grandis*, *Agropyron michnoi* and *Cleistogenes squarrosa*. Distinctive grass layer differentiation is observed in the community, with an average height of 40 to 70 cm from June to August and a community canopy density of 60% to 80%. The site was used for grazing prior to enclosure, with a grazing intensity of approximately 2.25 sheep per hectare.

### Table 1 Soil physical-chemical properties of the sampling sites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil depth (cm)</th>
<th>Organic C (g/kg)</th>
<th>Total N (g/kg)</th>
<th>C/N</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Soil bulk density (g/cm³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0–10</td>
<td>16.520±1.049</td>
<td>1.426±0.272</td>
<td>11.585</td>
<td>7.03</td>
<td>1.284±0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10–20</td>
<td>14.047±1.412</td>
<td>1.354±0.277</td>
<td>10.374</td>
<td>7.09</td>
<td>1.336±0.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–30</td>
<td>13.831±1.652</td>
<td>1.206±0.289</td>
<td>11.468</td>
<td>7.23</td>
<td>1.347±0.030</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 1.2 Experimental design

A field experiment was conducted *in situ* in a *Leymus chinense* steppe during a drought period (from 24 June 2010 to 1 July 2010) and during a rainy period (from 3 August 2011 to 10 August 2011). Fifteen 8 m×8 m experimental plots, which were separated by 1 m buffers, were established in May 2010. These 15 plots were randomly designed with five treatments and three replicates to simulate rainfall events under different soil available N conditions. The treatments were as follows: (1) control, without N or water addition (ZN); (2) with water addition and without N (ZWN); (3) with N addition at a rate of 5 g N/(m²·a) and with water (WN5); (4) with N addition at a rate of 10 g N/(m²·a) and with water (WN10); and (5) with N addition at a rate of 20 g N/(m²·a) and with water (WN20). Approximately 640 L of water, which is equivalent to 10.0 mm rainfall, was manually applied to the experimental plots on June 24 and August 3 by using backpack sprayers (Sponseller, 2007; Jin et al., 2009). A simulated 10 mm rainfall was chosen because it can effectively increase nitrification and affect the average seasonal N₂O effluxes in the semiarid grasslands in Inner Mongolia (Du et al., 2006; Liu et al., 2010). In addition, recent studies have indicated that a 10 mm rainfall surpasses the water threshold for activating microbial N cycling and plant N uptake in semiarid grasslands (Schwinning and Sala, 2004; Dijkstra et al., 2012). NH₄NO₃ was ground into fine powder, weighted, and evenly distributed on the fertilised treatment plots simultaneously with the water addition.

#### 1.3 Sample collection

Static closed opaque chambers with inner dimensions of 50 cm×40 cm×30 cm, were used in this study to collect gas samples. The chambers were made of 8 mm thick black acrylic material, and the chamber surface was covered with reflecting tin foil to overcome the rapid increase in chamber temperature (Dong et al., 2000; Qi et al., 2007; Peng et al., 2011). Sampling was respectively conducted before water and N addition (0 h) and at 2, 5, 24, 48 and 168 h (1 week) after adding water and N. The sampling chambers were placed in the groove of a stainless steel frame, which was inserted at a depth of 5 cm in the soil, then carefully sealed with distilled water. The aboveground parts of the plants were cut off 1 day before each sampling to avoid any plant disturbance on the soil N₂O emission. Gas samples were collected within 30 min to avoid disturbing the natural conditions of the sampling sites. After closing the chamber, the gas samples were collected at 0, 7, 14 and 21 min (Dong et al., 2000; Qi et al., 2007). For each sample, approximately 200 mL of gas was collected through a PVC tube and a silica gel pipe that were connected to the chamber through a three-way stopcock. The collected gas was stored in polyethylene-coated aluminum bags, and the N₂O gas concentrations of the samples were analyzed using a gas chromatograph (Hewlett-Packard 6890, Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, USA) equipped with an electron capture detector (ECD).

The air, soil, and internal chamber temperatures were recorded during gas sampling. The air and the soil temperatures at depths of 0, 5 and 10 cm were measured using DHM2 mechanical ventilated ther-
mometers and SN2202 digital thermo detectors (Sinan Instruments Plant of Beijing Normal University), respectively. Soil water content was determined using oven-drying method and was then converted to water-filled pore space (WFPS) by using the bulk density and porosity values. During gas sampling, soil samples were collected at the depth of 0 to 10 cm and were analyzed for soil NH$_4$-N and NO$_3$-N contents. Soil NH$_4$-N and NO$_3$-N were extracted with 2 M KCl and analyzed using an automated flow injection analyzer (Braun and Lübbe, Norderstedt, Germany).

### 1.4 Data analysis

The N$_2$O efflux was calculated using the following method by Dong et al. (2000) and Qi et al. (2006):

\[
F_{N_2O} = \rho \times h \times \frac{\Delta c}{\Delta T} \times \frac{273}{273 + T}.
\]  

Where $F_{N_2O}$ refers to the N$_2$O flux ($\mu$g/(m$^2$·h)), $\rho$ is the N$_2$O gas density ($\mu$g/m$^3$), and $h$ is the chamber height (m). $\Delta c/\Delta T$ denotes the change in gas concentrations inside the chamber during the sampling period (m$^3$/(m$^3$·h)), and $T$ represents the average chamber temperature during the sampling period (°C).

The cumulative N$_2$O fluxes were calculated by interpolating the N$_2$O fluxes that were measured during the sampling periods (Dong et al., 2000; Peng et al., 2011). The statistical analyses, i.e. nested repeat ANOVA (LSD Test was used) and Pearson’s correlation were conducted using SPSS 17.0. The graphs were prepared using Origin 8.0 and the cumulative N$_2$O emissions were calculated using Matlab 7.0.

### 2 Results

#### 2.1 Response of short-term N$_2$O emission to water addition under different N input levels

The response of the N$_2$O effluxes to water addition was rapid, and the N$_2$O emission pulse lasted for a short time in both sampling periods (Fig. 1). For the first observation period in late June 2010, water addition and N input significantly affected the temporal variation of the N$_2$O flux (Table 2, $P<0.01$). The maximum N$_2$O emissions occurred within 2 to 5 h and varied between 12.52±0.80 $\mu$g/(m$^2$·h) (ZN) and 442.75±15.39 $\mu$g/(m$^2$·h) (ZWN). Relative to the peak efflux values of the ZN treatment, the maximum effluxes of the ZWN treatment increased by 219.20%. The peak values of the N$_2$O efflux increased with increasing N input levels. The peak effluxes of N$_2$O in the WN5, WN10, and WN20 treatments increased by

![Fig. 1](image-url)  

**Fig. 1** Short-term dynamics of the soil N$_2$O effluxes under different water and N treatments, including (a) water and N addition on 24 June 2010 and (b) water and N addition on 3 August 2011. ZN, without N or water addition; ZWN, water addition and without N; WN5, N addition at a rate of 5 g N/(m$^2$·a) and with water; WN10, N addition at a rate of 10 g N/(m$^2$·a) and with water; and WN20, N addition at a rate of 20 g N/(m$^2$·a) and with water.
Table 2  Results of the nested repeated ANOVA on the effects of the sampling time, water addition, N addition and their interaction on the soil N2O effluxes in both sampling periods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>June 2010 df</th>
<th>Mean square</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>August 2011 df</th>
<th>Mean square</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sampling time</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>614.260</td>
<td>76.684</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>397.322</td>
<td>12.058</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water addition</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>896.164</td>
<td>29.932</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2,277.698</td>
<td>25.193</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N addition</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>833.142</td>
<td>26.343</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2,250.370</td>
<td>27.287</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sampling time×Water addition</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>645.961</td>
<td>37.505</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>58.028</td>
<td>1.761</td>
<td>0.214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sampling time×N addition</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>103.948</td>
<td>26.143</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>163.410</td>
<td>4.959</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

222.29%, 621.11% and 1007.92%, relative to that in the ZWN treatments (P<0.01). However, after 48 h, water addition did not show any significant effect on the N2O effluxes for N treatment levels (P>0.05).

For the second observation period in early August 2011, the temporal variation of the N2O effluxes was insignificantly affected by single water addition (Table 2), and no significant difference in the N2O effluxes was observed between the WZN and ZN treatments (P>0.05). The N2O peak effluxes in this period were significantly smaller than those in late June for all treatments (P<0.01) and ranged between 4.63±0.45 μg/(m²·h) (ZWN) and 51.89±0.08 μg/(m²·h) (WN20). Similar to the results obtained in late June 2010, the peak effluxes in the second observation period were significantly greater in the treatments with greater N applied levels within the first 48 h (P<0.01).

The cumulative N2O effluxes for one week after adding water and N were calculated (Fig. 2). The weekly cumulative N2O emissions of the different treatments ranged from 0.016±0.007 (ZN) to 0.216±0.078 kg N2O/hm² (WN20) for the first sampling period and from 0.004±0.001 (ZN) to 0.042±0.013 kg N2O/hm² (WN20) for the second sampling period. Similar to the difference in the peak values of N2O effluxes, the weekly cumulative N2O effluxes in the wet sampling period were significantly less than those in the dry sampling period of late June, 2010 (P<0.05). Nested ANOVA showed that only the treatments with water and medium N addition (WN10) or high N addition (WN20) in both

Fig. 2  Cumulative N2O emissions of the different water and N treatments within 168 h after water and N addition on 24 June 2010 (a) and on 3 August 2011 (b). Bars with different letters denote the treatments that are significantly different at P<0.05.
Table 3  Results of the nested ANOVA on the effects of water and N addition on the soil N\(_2\)O cumulative effluxes in both sampling periods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statistical period</th>
<th>Water addition</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>N addition</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>R(^2)</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>R(^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 2010</td>
<td>11.617</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>17.171</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.863</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 2011</td>
<td>15.015</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>14.892</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.857</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3  Dynamics of water-filled pore spaces (WFPSs) in three surface soil layers (0–10 cm, 10–20 cm and 20–30 cm) for the two observation periods. W refers to the mean of the WFPS in the ZWN, WN5, WN10 and WN20 treatments.

Sampling periods significantly increased the weekly cumulative N\(_2\)O emissions (P<0.01, Table 3).

2.2 Variations in soil WFPS, temperature and mineral N content after water and N addition

The WFPS in three soil layers (0–10 cm, 10–20 cm and 20–30 cm) for the two observation periods are shown in Fig. 3. WFPS remained low and exhibited small fluctuations in the ZN treatments for both sampling periods. However, the WFPS values of the ZN treatment in early August 2011 were significantly greater than those of the same layer in late June 2010 (P<0.01) because of the higher natural precipitation prior to the sampling. The simulated precipitation significantly increased the WFPS of treatments with water addition (ZWN, WN5, WN10 and WN20) in the 0 to 10 cm soil layer for both periods (P<0.01). However, water addition had limited influence on the soil WFPS for the 10 to 20 cm and 20 to 30 cm soil layers.

For both observation periods, air temperature ranged from 22.4°C to 33.1°C (Fig. 4). Within 24 h after water addition, the soil temperatures at the depth of 0 and 5 cm in the treatments added with water were significantly lower than those temperatures in the ZN treatment (P<0.05). The soil temperatures of the treatments added with water at a soil depth of 10 cm
were also lower than those of ZN treatment; however, the difference was insignificant ($P>0.05$).

The soil NH$_4$-N and NO$_3$-N contents in the surface soil layer of 0 to 10 cm significantly increased with increasing N fertilizer application (Fig. 5, $P<0.05$). Meanwhile, the soil NH$_4$-N and NO$_3$-N contents in the ZWN treatment significantly increased in the first 2 h after water addition ($P<0.01$). The soil NH$_4$-N and NO$_3$-N concentrations in the WN5, WN10, and WN20 treatments in early August 2011 were significantly lower than the corresponding concentrations in late June 2010 in the first 5 h ($P<0.01$).

2.3 Correlations between the N$_2$O effluxes and the environmental factors

The Pearson correlations between the N$_2$O effluxes and the main environmental factors during the first 24 h were determined for the different treatments because significant variations in the environmental factors and N$_2$O effluxes were found in this duration (Table 4). Regression equations were established using multiple stepwise regression method to determine the quantitative contributions of the different environmental factor changes to the variations in the N$_2$O efflux (Table 5).

For the first sampling period, the N$_2$O effluxes were positively correlated with the soil temperatures in the ZN and ZWN treatments ($P<0.1$). Besides, the correlations between the soil NH$_4$-N, WFPS and N$_2$O effluxes in the ZN treatment were weaker than those in the ZWN treatment. In the treatments with both water and N additions (WN5, WN10 and WN20), the N$_2$O effluxes were significantly and positively correlated with the soil NH$_4$-N concentrations, particularly in the
Fig. 5 Variations in mean NH₄-N and NO₃-N contents (n=3) for the different treatments at a depth of 0 to 10 cm during both observation periods

Table 4 Correlations between the N₂O effluxes and the environmental factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>WFPS</th>
<th>T°</th>
<th>NH₄-N°</th>
<th>NO₃-N°</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0–10</td>
<td>10–20</td>
<td>20–30</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late June 2010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZN</td>
<td>0.619</td>
<td>0.519</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WZN</td>
<td>0.938°</td>
<td>0.630</td>
<td>0.572</td>
<td>0.887</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WN5</td>
<td>0.868</td>
<td>0.576</td>
<td>0.557</td>
<td>0.787</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WN10</td>
<td>0.887</td>
<td>0.555</td>
<td>0.514</td>
<td>0.815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WN20</td>
<td>0.421</td>
<td>0.520</td>
<td>0.413</td>
<td>0.542</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early August 2011</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZN</td>
<td>−0.583</td>
<td>−0.196</td>
<td>−0.217</td>
<td>0.865</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WZN</td>
<td>0.791</td>
<td>0.791</td>
<td>0.916°</td>
<td>0.848</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WN5</td>
<td>0.893</td>
<td>0.989***</td>
<td>0.703</td>
<td>0.999***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WN10</td>
<td>0.759</td>
<td>0.848</td>
<td>0.263</td>
<td>0.786</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WN20</td>
<td>0.563</td>
<td>0.768</td>
<td>0.115</td>
<td>0.698</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: * , ** and *** indicate the correlation was significant at P<0.1, 0.05 and 0.01 level, respectively. a, air temperature (°C); b, soil temperature (°C); c, quality contents in dry soil (mg/kg).
WN5 treatment ($P<0.01$). Multiple stepwise regression results indicated that the changes of soil temperatures at a depth of 10 cm could explain 85.9% of the variation in the N$_2$O efflux in the ZN treatment. With regard to the ZWN treatment, the changes in the WFPS at 0 to 10 cm were mainly responsible for the increased N$_2$O efflux and explained 88.0% of the N$_2$O efflux variation. The increasing soil NH$_4$-N concentrations in the three treatments with N addition accounted for 77.6% (WN5), 87.6% (WN10) and 79.5% (WN20) of the N$_2$O efflux variation. The correlations between the N$_2$O effluxes and the NH$_4$-N concentrations in early August 2011 were weaker than those in late June 2010 for all the treatments added with water. In the ZN treatment, the N$_2$O effluxes were positively correlated with the soil temperature and the soil WFPS ($P<0.1$). Multiple stepwise regression analysis showed that the temperature and the soil WFPS were the major factors that influenced the N$_2$O effluxes in early August 2011.

3 Discussion

3.1 Magnitude of the N$_2$O emission pulses from a single precipitation event

Previous studies have indicated that a single wetting event could affect the annual N$_2$O efflux by 2% to 50% in different soil ecosystems (Nobre et al., 2001; Barton et al., 2008; Goldberg et al., 2010; Kim et al., 2012). In this study, within one week of water and N addition during drought period, the weekly accumulated emissions of N$_2$O accounted for 24.12% (ZWN), 21.94% (WN5), 16.69% (WN10), and 25.23% (WN20) of the annual total emissions in 2010 (unpublished data). Meanwhile, during the rainy observation period in 2011, the pulse effects of water and N addition on the N$_2$O emissions were weak, and the weekly emissions only accounted for 4.92% (ZWN), 6.15% (WN5), 9.46% (WN10) and 7.78% (WN20) of the annual total emissions in 2011 (unpublished data). These results indicate that the precipitation distribution and the length of dry period prior to rainfall significantly affected the N$_2$O pulse emissions and its contribution to the annual N$_2$O emissions. Similar results have been observed in different ecosystems. Goldberg et al. (2010) studied German fen soil and found that the soil rewetting resulted in greater N$_2$O emissions for several days during long drought periods (6 to 8 weeks), and that the N$_2$O pulse emissions accounted for approximately 20% to 40% of the total annual N$_2$O emissions. Kim et al. (2010) also observed greater N$_2$O emissions following the rainfall events after a long dry period in fertilized temperate grassland relative to the N$_2$O emissions under normal precipitation conditions.

3.2 Soil N dynamics after simulated precipitation and their influence on N$_2$O emissions

For the relative drought observation period in late June 2010, the soil NH$_4$-N and NO$_3$-N concentrations in the ZWN treatment rapidly increased within the first 2 h after water application. The increases in soil
NH$_4$-N and NO$_3$-N potentially aroused the N$_2$O efflux peak at 2 h; such phenomenon can be explained by the following mechanisms: (1) the dead microbial biomass and the disrupted soil macroaggregate were accumulated in the soil during the drought period prior to rainfall, (2) less rainfall during the dry periods reduces the N loss by leaching, and (3) the consumption of soil N is limited because of the lower microbial activity and plant uptake during the dry period. Therefore, more organic substrates and mineral N accumulated in dry soil. When the soils were rewetted, the accumulated organic matter and mineral N were rapidly released, providing a sufficient substrate for the N$_2$O generation (Davidson et al., 1993; Fierer and Schimel, 2002; Austin et al., 2004; Barton et al., 2008; Yao et al., 2010; Kim et al., 2010; Xu et al., 2012).

In addition, the soil NH$_4$-N and NO$_3$-N concentrations also increased during the first 2 h after the water application on August 3, 2011 in the ZWN treatment. However, no obvious increase in the N$_2$O efflux was observed. What’s more, the N$_2$O emission pulse was even smaller for the N addition treatments (WN5, WN10 and WN20) in this period than those in the late June 2010 ($P<0.01$). We inferred that the differences in the N$_2$O effluxes between the two sampling periods are due to the different responses of plants and microbes to the water pulses. In semiarid ecosystems, the net N mineralization by microbes and the N uptake by plants can be asynchronous during the drying and wetting cycles. Huxman et al. (2004b) found that microbial populations are sensitive to small precipitation events. By contrast, plants are only responsive to relatively larger precipitation or to multiple small rainfall events. Collins et al. (2008) also found that plants in arid ecosystems are highly sensitive to drought stress because plant metabolism is more easily restrained during drought conditions compared with microbe metabolism. In the present study, no natural precipitation occurred during the two weeks preceding the sampling period in late June 2010, except for a 1.1 mm rainfall on 17 June 2010, and the plant N uptake was limited by the drought weather condition (Kim et al., 2010; Dijkstra et al., 2012). Thus, the soil available N concentration increased during the drought periods, thus providing more substrates for microbes and resulting in greater N$_2$O emissions when the soil is rewetted. Meanwhile, two heavy rainfalls occurred during the week preceding the sampling period in early August 2011. The N uptake by plants increased because of the previous rainfall and water addition; thus, the soil mineral N accumulation in this period was lower than that in late June, 2010. Consequently, the N$_2$O emissions were restrained by the N threshold, because plants were better competitors for soil N than the N$_2$O producing microbes. In addition, previous studies have confirmed that N$_2$O production would be suppressed until the plant N demands are fully satisfied in an N-limited ecosystem (McSwiney and Robertson, 2005; Peng et al., 2011; Kim et al., 2012).

3.3 Main influencing factors on the short-term N$_2$O effluxes under the different water and N conditions

For the ZN treatment in both observation periods, the soil WFPS remained low and did not show obvious variation, thus, the N$_2$O effluxes were insignificantly correlated with the soil WFPS (Weier et al., 1993; Weitz et al., 2001; Dobbie and Smith, 2003). Previous studies in semiarid climate zones have confirmed the significant influence of temperature on the N$_2$O emissions during drought soil conditions (Hernandez-Ramirez et al., 2007; Yao et al., 2010; Cantarel et al., 2011). In the present study, the N$_2$O efflux was positively correlated with temperature for both observation periods. However, the significance of correlation was weak ($P<0.1$) potentially because of the relatively appropriate temperature conditions, which varied between 22.4°C and 33.1°C. The temperatures in this range are generally suitable for nitrification and denitrification and are not the restricting factor of N$_2$O fluxes during the growing season, thereby resulting in the weak correlation between temperature and N$_2$O effluxes (Liu et al., 2010). But for the ZWN treatment, the WFPS at depths of 0 to 10 cm significantly increased from less than 20% to more than 30% within a few hours; this marked increase in WFPS at depths of 0 to 10 cm significantly increased from less than 20% to more than 30% within a few hours; this marked increase in WFPS would significantly increase soil nitrification (Davidson, 1992). Meanwhile, soil rewetting stimulated C and net N mineralization and increased the soil mineral N concentration. Overall, N$_2$O generation greatly benefited from increasing WFPS, and accordingly the N$_2$O ef-
fluxes in the ZWN treatment were positively correlated with the soil WFPS values in June 2010.

In this study, N addition greatly increased the soil NH₄-N and NO₃-N concentrations in all the N input treatments. Significant correlations were observed between the N₂O effluxes and the soil NH₄-N concentrations in these treatments. Previous studies have indicated that nitrification is the dominant mechanism for the N₂O production in the semiarid grassland ecosystems (Dong et al., 2000; Du et al., 2006; Yao et al., 2010; Peng et al., 2011). Xu et al. (2003) found that approximately 76% of the N₂O fluxes were produced by nitrification in the grasslands in Inner Mongolia. As the important substrate for nitrification process, changes in the NH₄-N concentration would inevitably influence the soil N₂O effluxes in this region. In addition, the correlation between the N₂O emissions and the soil NH₄-N concentration in the WN5 treatment was stronger than those in the WN10 and WN20 treatments, although the low N input level (WN5) did not significantly increase the weekly N₂O emission pulse. The reason for this perhaps was that the low N input did not fully satisfy the N demand of the ecosystem, and the N₂O production was restrained by the availability of NH₄-N to a certain degree. Thus, the variations in the N₂O efflux were significantly correlated with those in the NH₄-N concentration in the WN5 treatment. With the increase in N input in the WN10 and WN20 treatments, the improvement in the availability of NH₄-N reduced the N restriction for N₂O generation, as well as the correlations between them. During the second observation period, the correlations between the N₂O emissions and the soil NH₄-N concentrations were insignificant (at a significance level of 0.05) in the WN5, WN10 and WN20 treatments. The reason we inferred was that the N uptake by microbes may be limited by more plant N uptake during the rainy observation period in 2011. However, this hypothesis lacks sufficient data support and should be validated with further research.

4 Conclusions

The peak N₂O emissions in all treatments occurred shortly after water and N addition, and no significant difference in N₂O emissions was observed among the different treatments after 48 h. These results suggest that the N₂O emission pulse caused by water addition only lasted for a short period. Weekly or monthly measurements with a manual chamber were not conducive for capturing this N₂O pulse. The improved sampling frequency should be used in future studies to reduce the uncertainties in estimating the annual soil N₂O effluxes in this region, particularly in the area with high available N concentrations. The soil N₂O fluxes for the dry and the rainy periods responded differently to the N additions. The weekly emission pulse accounted for approximately 16.69% to 25.23% of the total annual N₂O emissions during the dry observation period in 2010. During the rainy period, smaller N₂O pulse emissions were observed in the plots treated with single water addition or with both water and N addition. The contributions of the weekly accumulated emissions to the total annual emissions, which varied between 4.92% and 9.46%, were much smaller during this period. The amounts of soil available N accumulated during the dry period or during soil rewetting greatly affected the response of the N₂O emissions to the precipitation pulses and the soil available N contents are largely decided by the different responses of plant and microbial N uptakes to the changes in precipitation in semiarid ecosystems. However, this speculation must be validated with additional research.
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